G. R. S. 58
Previous references.

√

1903.

(L. B)

File No. 66-03 (7)

Serial Nos. 1 & 2

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B. for

SUBJECT.

Whether a person paying a kandayam of not less than Rs 50 can vote in one or more wards of villages under the Mysore Local Boards.

GPB—8,000—7-4-09

Revenue Department

~~Office of the Dewan of Mysore.~~

File No. (if any)

Directions.

No., date and purport of receipts to be entered in red, and office note in black ink in order of dates.—To be written only on one side, and inside the line of margin.

Office Note.

Branch Local Boards

Subject

Voters for the election of members of Taluk Boards.

Hassan Dy Comrs No 478 3.2.04

The Deputy Commissioner of the Hassan District enquires whether a man paying ~~no less~~ a Kandaya of not less than Rs 50/- in each of two or more groups of a Taluk is entitled to vote for the election of ~~a~~ Members of Taluk Boards in each of such ~~all the~~ groups; and states that he has issued orders to allow the person in such cases to vote only in one group.

The Dy Comrs order does not seem to be equitable as persons ~~in cases~~ with property, qualifications of the kind ~~referred~~ referred to by the Dy Comrs are entitled to vote in all the groups in wh they possess the necessary qualifications for voting

Submitted for orders as to whether the Dy Comrs may be informed accordingly & whether his action may be approved

Dy 6.2.04.

JD
9/2/04

I think the above view is correct -

Subd to the Dewan
thro' the Dy Comr
9/2/04

I quite agree - The rule as originally drafted entitled a man to vote only in the group in which he was ordinarily resident - (See copy attached) This was revised in Council after careful consideration of a new paper the Prescribed Property Qualification - Now in each of several groups, he can vote for a member for each one of such groups - Therefore so, the Dy Comr's order has proceeded upon an erroneous interpretation of the Rule & cannot be abrogated - Let this be pointed out to him & then please file

9 - 2 - 04

Drafted 9/2/04
DJ 10/2

15-819
11.2.04.

Rule 11 as originally drafted

11. (1) Every male person [Qualifications of electors.] of the full age of twenty-one years, and of sound mind, resident within the Taluk, and either

i) holding or owning land in the Taluk assessed at not less than 50 Rs per annum, or

ii) duly appointed to officiate as Revenue or Police Patel of any village in the Taluk, or

iii) paying a house tax under these Rules of not less than 5 Rs per annum in a group of villages in the Taluk,

shall be ~~elected~~ entitled to vote at the election of a member of the Taluk Board for the group of villages comprising his ordinary residence.

1680

1903-04

From D. Devaraj Urs Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To The Private Secy to H.V. Nanjunday Esqr M.A. and Secy to the G. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore

Dated
Despatched } 3rd February 1904.
Received

General No. 47 (Camp, Coorg)
No. Secy

Enclosure No.

Requesting instructions as to whether a person paying a Kandayam of not less than Rs 50/- is to vote in one or more groups of villages under the Mysore Local Boards Rules.

2-7-04

Urgent

Note portion Rd 3/2/04 Arg 7/2/04
H.V.N.
H.C.K.
8.2.04

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Hassan district

Camp, Doddevara 3d February 1924

From

D. Devaraj urs Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To

H. V. Nanjundaya Esquire m.a.
m.l
Secy to the Government of
H. H. The Maharaja of
Mysore
Genl & Rev. depts

Sir,

I have the honour to state that under Section 11, clause 1 of the Rules under the Mysore Local Boards Regulation a person is eligible to vote if he pays a Kandayam of not less than Rs 50/. in a group of villages. Supposing, however, a person pays the above Kandayam in each of two or more groups of villages, the question

the question arises as to where he is to vote, whether in one or more groups. I have in such cases issued orders to permit the person to vote only in one group, of which previous intimation must be given to the presiding officer.

I request you will be so good as to convey early instructions in the matter.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

D Desmond

The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District.

Mysore Govt. Gen. & Rev. Secretariat,

Local Boards Branch

File No. (if any) and its date—

22/2/1904

In-L. Copy sent to D.C. Hassan 19/2/04

Office Draft.

Progs. of the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read :—

Letter No 117 Camp of the 3rd February 1904 from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, enquiring whether a man paying a Kandayam of Rs. less than Rs 5 of. in each of two or more Groups of a Taluk is entitled to vote for the election of members in each of such Groups, and stating that he has issued orders to allow the persons in such cases to vote only in one Group.

Order thereon: No 3087-94 L4, 66-03

D. 19/2/04

If a man possesses the prescribed property qualifications

qualifications in each of several groups, he can vote for a nominee for every one of such groups. That being so, the Dy Commissioner's order has proceeded upon an erroneous interpretation of Rule 11 of the Rules framed under the Local Boards Regulation and cannot be approved

07074

12.2.04

15/2/04

Dy Off Secretary

10/2/04

10/2/04

Dy Off Secretary

To:

The Dy Comr Hassan Dist

The Dy Comrs of other Dists

for information

Print approved in manuscript

read 18/2/04